UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: * CHAPTER 13

ROBERT & PATRICIA WILLIAMS * BANKRUPTCY NO. 18-15270

k

Debtors *

ORDER

AND NOW, this day of , 201 , upon consideration of NewRez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing's Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay and Debtors' answer thereto, and after hearing, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that;

The Motion of NewRez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing for Relief From The Automatic Stay Under Section 362 is DENIED.

BY THE COURT:

J.

Kenneth G. Harrison, Esquire Fine Neshaminy Interplex Suite 115 Trevose, PA 19053

William C. Miller, Esquire (Trustee) P.O. Box 40119 Philadelphia, PA 19106

Lorraine Gazzaza Doyle, Esquire Pincus Law Group 425 RXR Plaza Uniondale, NY 11556

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

* IN RE: CHAPTER 13

BANKRUPTCY NO. 18-15270 * ROBERT & PATRICIA WILLIAMS

Debtors

DEBTORS' ANSWER TO THE MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY

Debtors, Robert & Patricia Williams, by and through their attorney, Kenneth G. Harrison, Esquire, respectfully represents as follows:

- Admitted. 1.
- 2. Admitted.
- 3. Admitted.
- 4. Admitted.
- 5. Admitted.
- Denied as stated. Debtors have made portgage payments 6. since the filing of this bankruptcy. Debtors have no knowledge if the amount stated in this Motion is correct and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
- Denied. Debtors have no knowledge of the unpaid principal balance or what additional charges, if any, will be charges by Movant.
- 8. Denied. Debtors have no knowledge of Movant's attorney's fees and costs in this matter.
- Admitted in that the writing of the statute speaks for 9. itself.
 - 11. Denied as a conclusion of law upon which no responsive

pleading is required.

- 12. Denied as a conclusion of law upon which no responsive pleading is required.
- 13. Denied as a conclusion of law upon which no responsive pleading is required.
 - 14. Admitted.

DEFENSES

- 1. The Debtors have substantial equity in their property and movant has been afforded adequate protection of its interest.
- 2. Movant has not shown the irreparable harm necessary to justify lifting of the automatic stay with respect to its foreclosure.
- 3. If movant is entitled to relief from the stay, the stay should not be terminated and the Court should grant less drastic relief by conditioning or modifying the stay.

WHEREFORE, Debtors, Robert & Patricia Williams, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny Movant's Motion for Relief From the Automatic Stay.

Respectfully submitted,

s/s Kenneth G. Harrison
Kenneth G. Harrison, Esquire
Five Neshaminy Interplex Suite 115
Trevose, PA 19053